

## Cases

1.  [People v. Buza](#)

Supreme Court of California | Apr 02, 2018 | 4 Cal. 5th 658

**Overview:** Supreme Court concluded that the DNA Act's collection requirement is valid as applied to an individual who, like defendant, was validly arrested on probable cause to hold for a serious offense - here, a felony arson charge for which defendant was ultimately convicted - and who was required to swab his cheek as part of a routine booking procedure.

**HOLDINGS:** [1]-The Supreme Court concluded that the DNA Act's collection requirement is valid as applied to an individual who, like defendant, was validly arrested on probable cause to hold for a serious offense - here, the felony arson charge for which defendant was ultimately convicted - and who was required to swab his cheek as part of a routine booking procedure at county jail; [2]-Officials asked defendant for a DNA sample upon booking, after he was arrested on probable cause for a serious offense, and as he was entering pretrial detention; [3]-Under the circumstances before the court, the ...

2.  [Alameda County Deputy Sheriff's Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn. and Bd. of the Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.](#)

Supreme Court of California | Mar 28, 2018 | 413 P.3d 1132

3.  [Kim v. Reins International California, Inc.](#)

Supreme Court of California | Mar 28, 2018 | 413 P.3d 1132