Issue List	Air Carrier Access	DOJ	FRA interpretation	FTA's	HUD's FHAct
	Act (ACAA) and 14	interpretation of	of Americans With	interpretation of	and/or Section 504
	CFR Part 382	Americans With	<b>Disabilities Act</b>	Americans with	https://portal.hud.gov/h
		<b>Disabilities</b> Act	(ADA)	<b>Disabilities Act</b>	udportal/documents/hud
		(ADA)	49 CFR 37.3	(ADA)	doc?id=servanimals_ntcf heo2013-01.pdf
		http://www.ada.gov/s		49 CFR 37.3, 37.167(d)	<u>neozo15-01.pur</u>
		ervice_animals_2010.h		https://www.transit.dot.	
		<u>tm</u>		gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/file	
				<u>s/docs/Final_FTA_ADA_C</u>	
				ircular_C_4710.1.pdf	
	Current Definition:	Current Definition:	Current Definition:	Current Definition :	Current Definition:
	Any animal that is	Dogs and miniature	Service animal means any	[A]ny guide dog, signal	The term "assistance
	individually trained or	horses that are	guide dog, signal dog, or	dog, or other animal	animal" is used in the
	able to provide	individually trained to	other animal individually	individually trained to	context of the Fair
	assistance to a qualified	do work or perform	trained to work or	work or perform tasks	Housing Act (FHAct) and
	person with a disability;	tasks for people with	perform tasks for an	for an individual with a	Section 504 of the
	or any animal shown by documentation to be	disabilities.	individual with a disability,	disability, including, but	Rehabilitation Act of
	necessary for the		including, but not limited to, guiding individuals	not limited to, guiding individuals with impaired	1973 (Section 504). An assistance animal is not a
	emotional well-being of		with impaired vision,	vision, alerting	pet. It is an animal that
	a passenger.		alerting individuals with	individuals with impaired	works, provides
	a passengen		impaired hearing to	hearing to intruders or	assistance, or performs
			intruders or sounds,	sounds, providing	tasks for the benefit of a
			providing minimal	minimal protection or	person with a disability,
			protection or rescue work,	rescue work, pulling a	or provides emotional
			pulling a wheelchair, or	wheelchair, or fetching	support that alleviates
			fetching dropped items.	dropped items.	one or more identified
					symptoms or effects of a
					person's disability.
Should disability	Trained or, in certain	Service animals are	Animals must be trained	Service animals are	Neither the FHAct nor
mitigation training	cases, untrained	animals that are	to perform some task or	animals that are	Section 504 requires an
for the animal be	animals may qualify as	"individually trained to	function—conductors	"individually trained to	assistance animal to be
required as a	service animals.	work or perform	usually accepte the animal	work or perform tasks."	individually trained or

condition of access? Should public access training for the animal be required as a condition of access?	All service animals must be trained to behave appropriately in a public setting.	tasks." Formal training (e.g., school for service animals) is not required. Dogs must be housebroken.	if the individual says it's a service animal. Formal training (e.g., school for service animals) is not required. The expectation is that all service animals must be trained to behave appropriately in a public setting.	This training can be by an organization or by an individual, including the individual with a disability. The expectation is that all service animals must be trained to behave appropriately in a public setting.	certified. Public access training is not required.
Should the rule distinguish between psychiatric service animals and other service animals? If so, what are the practical implications of that distinction?	Psychiatric service animals are recognized as service animals, but are considered to be emotional support animals and, therefore, subject to the applicable regualatory requirements, i.e. documentation.	Psychiatric service animals are recognized as service animals.	Psychiatric service animals are recognized as service animals.	Psychiatric service animals are recognized as service animals if they are trainined to perform a task.	HUD does not distinguish between assistance animals based on whether an individual has a psychiatric or other type of disability. However, the approach taken in evaluating whether a reasonable accommodation may be necessary may differ depending on whether an individual's disability is readily apparent or known to the provider.
Should the rule preserve a distinct emotional support animal category? If so, what are the practical implications of that distinction?	Emotional support animals are recognized as service animals.	Emotional support animals are not recognized as service animals.	Emotional support animals are not recognized as service animals.	Emotional support animals are not recognized as service animals.	Assistance animals include those that provide emotional support to persons with disabilities. HUD does not have a separate category for emotional support animals.
Should the rule	U.S. carriers are	Only dogs and in	All animals except	All animals per the DOT	While dogs are the most

					-
designate eligible	required to transport <u>all</u>	certain cases miniature	primates and exotic	definition. However, FTA	common type of
species and, if so,	service animals except	horses are considered	animals (.e.g. snakes,	has not opined formally	assistance animal, other
what species should	certain unusual animals	service animals.	reptiles).	on primates and exotic	animals can also be
be allowed? Should	(e.g., snakes, other			animals in its oversight.	assistance animals.
the rule allow certain	reptiles, ferrets,			Through technical	Accommodations are
species to travel as	rodents, and spiders).			assistance, we have	reasonable if they do not
service animals	Foreign air carriers are			provided guidance	impose "an undue
subject to certain	not required to			consistent with FRA's	financial and
restrictions (such as	transport service			approach.	administrative burden"
remaining contained	animals other than				or a "fundamental
during flight)?	dogs.				alteration" to the nature
[Facilitator Note: As					of the provider's
discussed on call,					operations. It would be
certain animals such					rare for undue financial
as very small					and administrative
capuchin monkeys					burden or fundamental
travel in containers					alteration to be
during flight but					implicated in connection
offer valuable service					with an assistance
at the destination					animal. Assistance
retrieving objects for					animals must be allowed
reduced mobility					in public and common
passengers, etc.)					use areas. It is the
					assistance animal
					owner's responsibility to
					maintain control of the
					assistance animal.
Should the rule allow	Carriers may require	Entities cannot require	No documentation	Transit agencies cannot	For Service Animals, the
carriers to require	documentation that the	or request	requirement to prove that	have a policy requiring	ADA states that a
documentation and,	animal will not need to	documentation as	an animal is a service	riders to provide	covered entity shall not
if so, what	relieve itself during the	evidence that an	animal.	documentation for their	require documentation,
documentation and	expected duration of	animal is a service		service animal before	such as proof that the
under what	the flight or that the	animal.		boarding a bus or train or	animal has been
circumstances?	animal can relieve itself			entering a facility	certified, trained, or
	in a way that does not				licensed as a service

create a health or		animal.
sanitation issue on the		For assistance animals
flight.		that are not service
		animals, when an
Also, except for		individual's disability is
emotional support or		not readily apparent or
psychiatric service		otherwise known, a
animals, carriers may		provider may ask for
not require passengers		credible information
to produce		verifying the disability or
documentation that an		disability-related need
animal is a service		for an assistance animal,
animal unless verbal		e.g., persons who are
assurances of the		blind or have low vision
passenger are not		may not be asked to
credible.		document their disability
		or need for a guide dog.
		Depending on the
		individual's
		circumstances,
		information verifying
		that the person has a
		disability can usually be
		provided by the
		individual himself or
		herself (e.g., proof that
		an individual under 65
		years of age receives
		Social Security Income or
		Social Security Disability
		Income benefits or a
		credible statement by
		the individual). A doctor
		or other medical
		professional, a peer

					support group, a non- medical service agency, or a reliable third party who is in a position to knowabout the individual's disability may also provide verification of a disability.
What requirements	DOT suggests five steps	When it is not obvious	When conductor needs to	Personnel may ask riders	Because providers are
should the rule	to determine whether	what service an animal	verify that an animal is a	two questions: (1) is the	often covered by the
impose to prevent fraud in the	an animal is a service	provides, only limited	service animal, he or she	animal a service animal	ADA, Section 504, and
documentation	animal or pet – (1) obtain credible verbal	inquiries are allowed. Staff may ask two	may ask the following: Is this your pet? What	required because of a disability? and (2) what	the FHAct, different requirements apply
process.	assurance; (2) look for	questions: (1) is the	service is the animal	work or task has the	depending on whether
	physical indicators on	dog a service animal	trained to provide?	animal been trained to	the assistance animal is a
	the animal (e.g.,	required because of a		perform?	service animal under the
	harnesses, vests); (3)	disability, and (2) what			ADA or not.
	request documentation	work or task has the			
	for service animals if	dog been trained to			To avoid violating the
	passenger's verbal	perform. Staff cannot			ADA, providers should
	assurance is not	ask about the person's			start with the approach
	credible; (4) request	disability, require			provided under the ADA. When it is not obvious
	documentation for emotional support and	medical documentation,			what service an animal
	psychiatric service	require a special			provides, only limited
	animals; and (5) observe	identification card or			inquiries are allowed.
	behavior of animal.	training			Staff may ask two
		documentation for the			questions: (1) is the dog
	To obtain credible	dog, or ask that the			a service animal required
	verbal assurances	dog demonstrate its			because of a disability,
	carriers are permitted	ability to perform the			and (2) what work or task
	to ask the following: Is	work or task.			has the dog been trained
	this your pet? What				to perform.
	tasks or functions does				
	your animal perform for			1	If the answers to both (1)

	you?" "What has it been trained to do for you?" "Would you describe how the animal performs this task (or function) for you?" Carriers cannot ask about the person's disability.				and (2) are yes, then the FHAct and Section 504 (like the ADA) require the housing provider to grant the accommodation.
Should permissible documentation requirements differ according to the disability of the passenger?	If a passenger seeks to travel with an animal that is used as an emotional support or psychiatric service animal, carriers are not required to accept the animal for transportation in the cabin unless the passenger provides you current documentation (i.e., no older than one year from the date of the passenger's scheduled initial flight) on the letterhead of a licensed mental health professional (e.g., psychiatrist, psychologist, licensed clinical social worker including a medical doctor specifically treating the passenger's mental	Entities cannot require or request documentation as evidence that an animal is a service animal.	No documentation requirement to prove that an animal is a service animal.	Transit agencies cannot have a policy requiring riders to provide documentation for their service animal before boarding a bus or train or entering a facility	If the answer to either question above is no, the provider may ask persons who have disabilities that are not readily apparent or known to the provider to provide reliable information verifying a disability and the disability-related need for an assistance animal. Similarly, if the disability is known, but the need for an assistance animal is not readily apparent, then the provider may request reliable information showing the disability-related need for the assistance animal. Information/documenta- tion is sufficient if it establishes that the individual has a disability and that the animal will provide some type of

	r emotional		disability-related
	isability) stating the		assistance or emotional
fo	ollowing:		support.
(1	) The presenger		
	L) The passenger as a mental or		However, a housing
	motional disability		provider may not ask for
	ecognized in the		information showing the
	iagnostic and		disability or disability-
	tatistical Manual of		related need for an
M	ental Disorders		assistance animal if the
	ourth Edition (DSM		disability or disability-
IV	/);		related need is readily
(2)			apparent or known to
	2) The passenger eeds the emotional		the provider. For
	upport or psychiatric		example, persons who
	ervice animal as an		are blind or have low
	ccommodation for		vision may not be asked
	r travel and/or for		to provide
ac	ctivity at the		documentation of their
	assenger's		disability or their
de	estination;		disability-related need
17			for a guide dog. A
•	3) The individual roviding the		housing provider also
	ssessment is a		may not ask for access to
	censed mental		medical records or
	ealth professional,		medical providers or for
	nd the passenger is		detailed or extensive
	nder his or her		information or
-	rofessional care;		documentation of a
ar	nd		person's physical or
	1) The data sized		mental impairments
	<ol> <li>The date and pe of the mental</li> </ol>		
	ealth professional's		Since disabilities and
	cense and the state		disability-related needs
	r other jurisdiction		generally do not change
	-		

	in which it was issued.				from year to year, providers may not seek repeated verification of disability or disability- related need.
Under what circumstances, if any, should the rule allow the carrier to require advance notice of a passenger's intention to travel with a service animal? How much notice, if any, may be required?	On a flight segment scheduled to take 8 hours or more, carriers may require inviduals traveling with <u>all service</u> <u>animals</u> to provide 48 hours advance notice, and check in 1 hour before the normal check in time for the general public. Regardless of the length of the flight, carriers may require individuals traveling with an emotional support or psychiatric service animal to provide 48 hours advance notice, and check in 1 hour before the normal check in time for the general public.	Establishments may not require dog handlers to provide advance notice.	Conductors cannot require individuals with disabilities to provide advanced notice—but it's encouraged.	Bus operators and rail systems may not require advance notice. However, complementary paratransit or other demand responsive services that operate with small vehicles (e.g., sedans), ask riders for notification of their intent to ride with a service animal in order to help ensure adequate space is available for the animal.	Housing providers are to evaluate a request for a reasonable accommodation to possess an assistance animal in a dwelling using the general principles applicable to all reasonable accommodation requests any time such a request is made. Providers may not allowed to limit how and when requests can be made.
Should the rule offer additional guidance given as to how to assess the animal's	Carriers must determine whether any factors preclude whether an animal can travel in the	The dog must be in the handler's control and the handler must take effective action to		Transit agencies may refuse to transport service animals that are deemed to pose a direct	Housing providers may deny a request to permit a person with a disability to live with and use an

behavior?	cabin as service animals	control it.	threat to the health or	assistance animal if (1)
	(e.g., <u>whether the</u>		safety of drivers or other	the specific assistance
	animal would pose a		riders, create a seriously	animal poses a direct
	direct threat to the		disruptive atmosphere,	threat to the health or
	health or safety of		or are otherwise not	safety of others that
	others, whether it		under the rider's control.	cannot be reduced or
	would cause a		For example, a rider with	eliminated by another
	significant disruption of		a service dog is	reasonable
	<u>cabin service</u> .		responsible for ensuring	accommodation, or (2)
			the dog does not bite the	the specific assistance
			driver or other riders.	animal in question would
			Conversely, a dog that	cause substantial
			barks occasionally would	physical damage to the
			likely not be considered	property of others that
			out of the owner's	cannot be reduced or
			control.	eliminated by another
				reasonable
				accommodation. Breed,
				size, and weight
				limitations may not be
				applied to an assistance
				animal. A determination
				that an assistance animal
				poses a direct threat of
				harm to others or would
				cause substantial
				physical damage to the
				property of others must
				be based on an
				individualized
				assessment that relies on
				objective evidence about
				the specific animal's
				actual conduct — not on
				mere speculation or fear

Under what circumstances, if any, should the rule allow a carrier to require that the service animal be controlled by a tether or harness?	Service animals (including emotional support and psychiatric service animals) are not required to be harnessed, leashed or tethered.	Service animals must be harnessed, leashed, or tethered, unless these devices interfere with the service animal's work or the individual's disability prevents using these devices. In that case, the individual must maintain control of the animal through voice, signal, or other effective controls.	Guidance: There is a leash/tether requirement in a guidance document.	There is no harness requirement in the rule, but FTA has provided guidance similar to DOJ's positon: service animals must be harnessed, leashed, or tethered, unless these devices interfere with the service animal's work or the individual's disability prevents using these devices. In that case, the individual must maintain control of the animal through voice, signal, or other effective controls.	about the types of harm or damage an animal may cause and not on evidence about harm or damage that other animals have caused. In public and common use areas, an individual with a disability must maintain control of the individual's assistance animal. Providers cannot require the use of a leash or tether if another means of control is effective.
Should the rule allow airlines to deny passengers boarding if their animal is ineligible?	Part 382 does not permit carriers to deny a passenger boarding because his or her carrier service animals was denied transportation. However, oftentimes a passenger will refuse to fly if their service animal is denied transportation.	An individual is not denied access if their service animal is denied access.	An individual is not denied access if their service animal is denied access.	An individual is not denied access if their service animal is denied access.	The practical reality is that the denial of access with an assistance animal may end up being the denial of access to housing. If the provider denies a reasonable accommodation that should have been granted, damages and penalties may be awarded.

Should the rule specify procedures for challenging eligibility determinations by the airline, and if so, what should they be?				HUD allows Fair Housing Act and Section 504 complaints and lawsuits with damages and penalties available for the failure to provide reasonable accommodations for assistance animals.
Should the rule	While the ACAA rule		Section 37.167(d) does	Under the FHAct and
specify a limit on the	does not impose a limit		not prescribe limits on	Section 504, the
number of service	on the number of		the number of service	determination is based
animals that may be	service animals per		animals that accompany	on disability-related
brought on board by	passenger, as a matter		riders on a single trip.	need. In HUD's
any passenger? And	of enforcement policy,		Different service animals	experience, the need is
Should the rule	the Department's		may provide different	not common.
specify a limit on the	Aviation Enforcement		services to a rider during	
total number of	Office has stated that it		trips or at the rider's	
animals that may	would not take action		destination.	
travel as service	against carrires that			
animals on a given	choose to limit the			
flight?	number of service			
	animals per passenger			
	to three.			
Under what	Part 382 requires			It may be an appropriate
circumstances, if	airlines to allow service			reasonable
any, should the rule	animals to <u>accompany</u>			accommodation for
include within the	<u>their handlers</u> in the			someone else to have
definition of "service	cabin of the aircraft, <u>but</u>			access to housing with an
animal" an animal	<u>airlines are not</u>			assistance animal in
training to be a	required otherwise to			order to deliver or care
service animal, that	carry animals of any			for a person's assistance
is traveling with an	kind either in the cabin			animal. For example, if a
individual with a	or in the cargo hold.			person with a disability is
disability? And	Airlines are free to			ill, someone else will

should the rule	adopt any policy they	need to care for the
require access for	choose regarding the	animal, such as the
service animals that	carriage of pets and	person's friend. It would
are being	other animals provided	be a reasonable
transported by an	that they comply with	accommodation to allow
individual with a	other applicable	that to happen.
disability solely for	requirements ( <i>e.g.,</i> the	
the purpose of	Animal Welfare Act).	
delivering the animal	Although "service	
to another person	animals in training" are	
with a disability?	not pets, the ACAA does	
	not include them,	
	because "in training"	
	status indicates that	
	they do not yet meet	
	the legal definition of	
	service animal.	
	However, like pet	
	policies, airline policies	
	regarding service	
	animals in training vary.	
	Some airlines permit	
	qualified trainers to	
	bring service animals in	
	training aboard an	
	aircraft for training	
	purposes. Trainers of	
	service animals should	
	consult with airlines,	
	and become familiar	
	with their policies.	
	Service animal users	
	typically refer to the	
	person who	
	accompanies the animal	

How should the rule address the (possibly	as the "handler." Airlines must promptly take all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
conflicting) (a) service animal requirements and/or (b) animal quarantine requirements of jurisdictions outside Continental US?	steps necessary to comply with foreign regulations (e.g., animal health regulations) needed to permit the legal transportation of a passenger's service animal from the U.S. into a foreign airport.				
Should the rule no longer hold US carriers responsible when its foreign code-share partners deny transportation to animals that are not service dogs?	US airline codeshare partners may be held responsible when its foreing code-share partner denies transportation to an animal other than a service dog.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A