Salmon P. Chase Inns of Court 09/22/16 Metropolitan Club

E. Andre' Busald 226 Main Street; PO Box 6910 Florence, Kentucky 41042 Tele: (859) 371-3600 / Fax: (859) 525-1040

DO I REALLY WANT THIS CASE

A. RULES TO REMEMBER (aka Andy's 6 Rules)

RULE #1. Plaintiffs deal with their lawyer on a "need to know" basis

getting information is often like pulling teeth

Rule 2.1. Advisor

- Lawyer shall exercise independent professional judgment and render candid advice, regarding not just the law; but also moral, economic, social & political factors.
- How can you do this with 50% of the information?
- RULE #2. Plaintiffs get their information from the "misinformation media" and have no concept of how insurance companies or juries evaluate cases
 - Will it be possible to meet this plaintiff's expectations?
 - ◆ They've all heard about the lady who "poured coffee on herself" and got millions (no Billions!!)
 - Most people have a neighbor or know a guy who got \$500,000 and wasn't even hurt (suggestion: recommend that client take his case to that person's lawyer)

Rule 1.4. Communication

- Attorney must promptly inform the client of any decision requiring informed consent
- Attorney must keep the client reasonably informed about the status of the matter.
- RULE #3. Plaintiffs want their case resolved short of suit and, if possible, in a couple of weeks while they're still angry at somebody.
 - ♦ Be very cautious of someone who wants suit filed immediately
 - Avoid someone who wants to "get even", make someone miserable, or says it's not the money; but rather the principle.
 - Can you move as fast as your client wants/expects?

Rule 1.3. Diligence

- Lawyer must act with reasonable diligence and promptness

Rule 3.2. Expediting litigation

- Must make reasonable effort to expedite litigation consistent with the interests of the client

RULE #4. You cannot help everybody and some people are not worth it anyway

Never take a case for a plaintiff <u>you</u> don't like

RULE #5. It's easy to decline taking a case; but very difficult to get rid of it after you've accepted it

- "Crying baby on you doorstep" principle
- ◆ Put a "withdrawal clause" in your Representation Agreement
 - Not legally required; but nice to have

Rule 1.16. Declining or Terminating Representation

- May withdraw if it can be accomplished without material adverse effects on client
- May withdraw if client insists upon taking an action that the lawyer considers repugnant or with which the lawyer has a fundamental disagreement.

RULE #6. A Plaintiff's case is like a slot machine

- ♦ Need all three watermelons to win
 - 1) Injury
 - 2) Liability
 - 3) Source of recovery

NOTE: You may consider taking the case if you have 2 of 3

BIG watermelons:

- #1 & #3
- #2 & #3
- But <u>NEVER</u> if no #3

B. <u>INITIAL CONSIDERATIONS</u>

1. Any Apparent Conflicts

- Office conflict check
- "The law must not only be fair; it must also look fair"

- Rule 1.7. Conflict of Interest: CURRENT CLIENTS

- A lawyer cannot represent a client whose interests will be directly adverse to a current client.
 - ♦ Clients (current & former)

- Rule 1.9. Conflict of interest: former clients

"A lawyer cannot sue a former client in the same or substantially related matter, unless the former client gives informed consent".

Rule 1.7(b) Conflict of Interest

- Even though a conflict may exist, a lawyer may represent a client if:
 - 1. The lawyer believes that both clients may be competently represented.
 - 2. Such representation is not prohibited by law.
 - 3. The client's claims are not against the other client regarding the same litigation issue.
 - 4. Each client gives informed consent.
 - Friends
 - Practical problems
 - ♦ Partners, associates, office space sharers

- Rule 1.10. Imputed disqualification

Who is the Defendant and what are the <u>implications</u> of suing him/her?

- Social implications
- Political implications
- Financial implications

2. Product Knowledge

- ♦ Expertise
- ♦ Experience

Note: If not, consider associate counsel

Rule 1.1 Competence

Requires the legal knowledge and skill reasonably necessary for the representation

- 3. Time Considerations
 - Rule 1.3 ... "Reasonable diligence"
 - Rule 3.2 ... "Expedite litigation consistent with the client's interests"
 - ♦ When does the statute run?
 - ◆ Do you have the time to devote to ...
 - Factual development
 - Most time consuming
 - Legal analysis
 - "No" is sometimes the hardest word
 - Sometimes your best decision is when you didn't take a case.
- 4. Financial Considerations

- Can I finance this case
- ♦ Medical malpractice; \$50,000 to \$200,000
- ◆ Products liability; \$25,000 to \$100,000
- ◆ Automobile to trial; \$5,000 to \$10,000
- Workers' compensation; \$1,000 to \$3,000

Rule 1.16(b)(6) A lawyer may withdraw if representation will result in an unreasonable burden

- 5. Can my other practice take the strain?
- Remember existing clients are people to whom you've <u>already</u> made a commitment.
- 6. Venue
 - ♦ Community
 - ♦ Judge

C. BEFORE ACCEPTING THE CASE

<u>Rule 3.1. Meritorious claims and contentions</u> Must be a basis in law <u>and</u> fact for bringing a proceeding that is not frivolous

Also remember CR 11

1. In-depth interview

- Biggest mistake lawyers make is failure to thoroughly explore
 ALL facts at the outset.
- 2. Visit the scene
- 3. Obtain selected medical records
 - Emergency room record
 - Ambulance run sheet
 - Family doctors complete office record
 - * Helps discover "pre-existing problems"
- 4. Some preliminary legal research

Rule 1.2. Scope of Representation Must abide by client's decisions concerning the objectives of representation

- 5. Determine what client wants
 - ♦ Bills paid; lost wages and a "little bit" for "all I've been through"
 - Define "little bit"
 - Enough to get out of debt?
 - ♦ Enough to retire?
 - ♦ To punish the defendant?
 - ◆ Can you achieve client expectations (make a note of it)
 - Most people will say
 - We've never done this before
 - We're not the kind of people who sue
 - We're not trying to get rich here
 - We only want what's fair

- We just don't want this to happen to anyone else
- ◆ Explain current public attitudes on litigation, litigants and lawyers

* Defendant is the victim

- 61% of people think trial lawyers are causing serious damages to the economy
- 52%think trial lawyers are responsible for increased cost of health care
- 46% think lawyers make products more expensive
- 72% blame lawyers for the "litigation explosion"

LITIGATION Magazine Summer, 1996; Vol. 22; No. 4

6. Important to have spouse; parent; significant other or influential friends' input early on (you'll get it someday anyway)

Rule 1.14. Client with Diminished Capacity If minor or mentally impaired, must still maintain normal client-lawyer relationship

7. Can I really get any money for this person?

Rule 2.1(lawyer as advisor)

- Getting the money is only half the battle ... keeping it is the other half
- ♦ The subrogation monster

- ERISA?
 - Health carrier
 - Workers' compensation
 - Medicare/Medicaid
 - Short term and / or long term disability
 - Consider outsourcing subrogation issues

D. ACCEPTANCE OF CASE

Rule 1.5. Fees

- 1. Written contingency agreement specifically stating ...
 - A. Percentage of recovery
 - B. Expenses for which the client will be responsible, regardless of the outcome*
 - C. Attorney's right to withdraw
 - D. Other
 - How to calculate fee on a structured settlement.
 - Fee on No-Fault benefits?
 - ♦ Fee for negotiation of subrogation lien?
- * Rule 1.8 (c) provides that an attorney may make repayment of expenses contingent upon recovery.
- NOTE: This is a relatively recent rule change
- 2. Explain the Rules of Injury Evaluation

- A. The value of the case is directly related to the seriousness of the injury
- B. The seriousness of the injury bears a relationship to the nature, extent an duration of treatment
- C. Injured people go to the doctor
- D. Injured people can't work
- E. You can't have been injured if the damage to the cars is minimal
- F. You probably aren't injured if you weren't taken from the scene by ambulance to the hospital
- G. If there is a gap in your treatment, that's evidence that you recovered and then decided to "build a case"
- H. Injured people are not supposed to go on vacation or enjoy themselves in any way (the "Trip to Disney World" defense).
- 3. Explain the importance of:
 - A. Following medical advice
 - B. Keeping all appointments with doctors, P/T, etc.
 - C. Accumulating all medical bills
 - D. Documenting all wage loss
- 4. Explain the unwritten rules by which insurance adjusters evaluate cases
 - A. 3 times "medical bills" is a myth
 - B. 2014 Kentucky Trial Court Review: / Pg. 332
 - (Back & neck soft tissue, "injury multipliers")
- 1998 1.519 x medicals accepted by jury (121 cases)
- 1999 2.018 x medicals accepted by jury (102 cases)
- 2000 1.596 x medicals accepted by jury (96 cases)
- 2001 1.556 x medicals accepted by jury (69 cases)
- 2002 1.600 x medicals accepted by jury (56 cases)

- 2003 1.3999 x medicals accepted by jury (47 cases)
- 2004 1.137 x medicals accepted by jury (42 cases)*
- 2005 1.026 x medicals accepted by jury (39 cases)
- 2006 .958 x medicals accepted by jury (36 cases)
- 2007 1.206 x medicals accepted by jury (81 cases)
- 2008 1.994 x medicals accepted by jury (22 cases)
- 2009 1.997 x medicals accepted by jury (19 cases)
- 2011 .244 x medicals accepted by jury (9 cases)
- 2012 1.032 x medicals accepted by jury (8 cases)
- 2013 .420 x medicals accepted by jury (15 cases)**
- 2014 .950 x medicals accepted by jury (12 cases) 735 CASES

17 year average 1.710

- C. Your case will rarely settle outside those parameters unless:
 - ♦ Catastrophic injury
 - ♦ Aggravated circumstances
 - ♦ Target defendant

Therefore, on average, if medical expenses are \$10,000 you have a statistical probability of getting \$17,100 (1.710 x \$10,000) in pain & suffering, if the jury accepts all your medicals as related and doesn't apportion damages.

This would equate to a \$27,100 jury verdict (less \$10,000 No-Fault lien) or approximately a \$17,100 gross recovery (less trial case expenses) for your client. How does that compare with a \$12,500 settlement offer when you

^{*} This figure is EXCLUSIVE of a \$1,000,000 verdict from Paducah which skewed the yearly multiplier to be 4.118

^{**} This figure is EXCLUSIVE of a \$764,250 verdict from McCracken County (\$114,250 in medicals and \$650,000 in pain & suffering) which skewed the yearly multiplier to be 2.722

consider the time value of money and at least \$5,000 in case expenses???

- 5. Explain how juries evaluate cases
 - A. How things look
 - Sometimes more important than the way they are
 - Perception is reality (damage to automobiles).
 - B. Do they like plaintiff/dislike plaintiff or defendant?
 - The "likeability factor" affects liability and damages
 - Has the plaintiff returned to work?
 - Is plaintiff trying to retrain?
 - Is plaintiff a complainer? (R.L.S.)
 - Is plaintiff sorry, young or pitiful?
 - A case will never rise above the quality of the plaintiff
 - C. Is injury permanent?
 - Future damages
 - Medicals
 - * Wages
 - Loss of enjoyment of life
 - * Increased likelihood of future complications

- ♦ Juries are skeptical of future damages and will scrutinize them.
 - They need very strong proof.
- D. Liability factor
- ♦ Aggravated or accidental
 - "Just an accident" ... "Didn't mean to do it"
- E. Apportionment
- ♦ Will there be non-recoverable damages
- ♦ Seat belt defense is effective 80% of the time
 - (35.25% is the average reduction, 1999-2014),

See Kentucky Trial Court Review (10th Edition) Pgs. 41 & 47 attached, pages 61 & 62

♦ In other words, statistically you should discount the value of your case by 28.2% / (35.25% x 80% = 28.20%) if your client wasn't wearing a seat belt!

Also remember that over the last 16 years (1999 – 2014) juries have awarded zero in damages in 29.1% of automobile cases even where fault was found on the part of, or directed against, the defendant; and in the year 2014 have found threshold verdicts; fault but no damages; or medicals but no pain & suffering in 31.5% of all automobile cases!

..... (KTCR 2014 Edition Pg. 440 - 442)

E. MAKING THE DEMAND

Rule 1.2(a): clients decision whether or not to settle the matter

- 1. Settlement brochure
- 2. Demand within accepted parameters or not too far outside
- 3. Set a deadline
- 4. Most cases now go Mediation or Arbitration

F. EVALUATING THE OFFER / MOST IMPORTANT

- 1. What will it take from a jury to beat the <u>net</u> amount to the plaintiff from this offer?
- 2. Cost of Presentation?
- 3. Time value of money?
- 4. Probability of success?
- 5. How much is your time worth?